Introduced by: <u>Robert B. Dunn</u> 77-609

a second de la seconda de l

MOTION NO. 03112

7/5/77

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

A MOTION relating to the drug abuse treatment system in King County and defining the responsibilities of public and private agency participants.

WHEREAS, the Drug Abuse and Treatment Act of 1972, as amended, provides for the award of grants by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare to support treatment services for drug abusers, and γ

WHEREAS, King County, the City of Seattle, and local drug treatment agencies have participated through the Center for Addiction Services treatment network in the provision of services under the auspices of the grant program since 1972, and

WHEREAS, the division of roles and responsibilities among the various participants in the drug treatment system has never been well-defined, and

WHEREAS, this lack of definition has caused some confusion, and

WHEREAS, the drug treatment system in King County has evolved into such a sophisticated vehicle for effective service delivery to drug abusers that the confusion over roles and responsibilities can no longer be resolved on an issue by issue basis;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

1. The King County Council finds that the drug treatment system in King County will benefit from a definition of the roles and responsibilities of each of the participants.

i na pola de terres de la caractería de la com 7/5/77 2. The King County Council approves the assignment of responsibilities within the drug treatment system as stated in the attachment. PASSED this <u>5</u>th day of <u>July</u>, 19<u>77</u>. KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON ATTEST DEPUTY Council

July 5, 1977

The intent of this statement is to outline the agreements reached in discussion with the County Council regarding the assignment of responsibilities for administering the drug treatment system.

1. Dollar allocations to program areas (for example: residential, outpatient, methadone maintenance)

The Division of Human Services and the Drug Commission will jointly prepare and submit the NIDA grant and the State plan for drug abuse services during the County's normal budget cycle. By tying these two documents together, it will be possible to look at the entire program and the funding of specific areas within it. The proposed plan, grant and budget will receive Executive and Council review prior to implementation.

2. Competitive bidding

This process will be shared by the Division of Human Services, the Drug Commission and the agency designated by the County to administer the central elements of the drug treatment system, which at this time is the Center for Addiction Services. The process will ensure that the County, through the Division of Human Services, determine on what basis competitive bidding will occur and delegates the responsibility for implementing that process to the designated agency.

- a) Set up criteria and develop point scales to support each criteria (Division of Human Services).
- b) Develop the proposal outline based on the criteria and and point scale and specifying areas to be addressed by those agencies submitting proposals (Division of Human Services).
- c) Select review panels (Division of Human Services, Drug Commission, designated agency).
- d) Brief review panels and designated agency staff on the criteria, point scales, proposal format, statistical performance analysis and management review material, all of which will be the basis for scoring the proposals (Division of Human Services).
- e) Set up time lines, hold meetings, mail material, provide technical assistance to applicant agencies, receive proposals, staff the review panels, prepare final score analysis from review panel score sheets (designated agency).

- f) Presentation to the Division of Human Services and the Drug Commission the results of the review scoring process. Sufficient time allocated for meetings with the review panels should any questions arise (designated agency, Division of Human Services, Drug Commission).
- g) Award conference with applicant agencies (Division of Human Services, designated agency, Drug Commission).

3. Dollar allocations to specific agencies within program areas

Dollar allocations to specific agencies are the result of the competitive bidding process and will be announced at the award conference as the last step of the bidding process. These allocations will then be presented to the Executive and Council with the Council retaining final review. There is an appeal process following the award conference in which grievances regarding the competitive bidding can be negotiated and resolved with the participation of the Division of Human Services and the Drug Commission. The final allocation of dollars presented to the Council should represent agreement among those directly involved in the drug treatment system.

4. Contract negotiations

The contracts will reflect the statements of intent made in the agency proposals and will not involve changing the dollar allocations previously agreed upon. This function will be carried out by the designated agency.

5. Contract monitoring and fiscal service

This process will involve monitoring the contracts for compliance with performance standards as well as management standards and will be performed by the designated agency.